1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	BANK OF AMERI
10	
11	v.
12	SILVERSTONE RA
13	ASSOCIATION, et
14	
15	On Decemb
16	Thereafter, on Dece
17	Docket No. 51, whi
18	Court ordered that,
19	required to file an a
20	amended discovery
21	previously filed and
22	is hereby STRICKI
23	file a stipulation or
24	various filings in an
25	IT IS SO OF
26	DATED: De
27	
28	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.,	Case No. 2:16-cv-01795-GMN-NJK
Plaintiff(s),	ORDER
v. SILVERSTONE RANCH COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION, et al.,	(Docket No. 53)
Defendant(s).	

er 1, 2017, the Court entered the parties' proposed discovery plan. Docket No. 49. ember 1, 2017, the parties attempted to withdraw their proposed discovery plan, ch the Court denied as moot since it had already been entered, Docket No. 52. The to the extent the parties sought relief from the deadlines in that order, they were ppropriate request seeking that relief. See id. Now pending before the Court is an plan that provides no indiction as to how it differs from the discovery plan appears to include identical deadlines. Docket No. 53. The amended discovery plan EN. To the extent the parties seek relief from the order at Docket No. 52, they must motion specifying what relief it is they are seeking. The Court will not compare attempt to divine such information.

RDERED.

ecember 7, 2017

United States Magistrate Judge